

Multi-Wavelength Observation of BL Lacertae in the Current Flaring Period

Ryo Imazawa^{*1}, Yasushi Fukazawa^{*1}, Yusuke Suda^{*1}, Tatsuya Nakaoka^{*2},
Koji Kawabata^{*2}, Mahito Sasada^{*3}, and Hiroshi Akitaya^{*4,2}

1. Physics Program, Graduate School of Advanced Science and Engineering, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima 739-8526, Japan
2. Hiroshima Astrophysical Science Center, Hiroshima University, Kagamiyama 1-3-1, Higashi-Hiroshima, Hiroshima 739-8526, Japan
3. Institute of Innovative Research, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan
4. Astronomy Research Center, Chiba Institute of Technology, 2-17-1 Tsudanuma, Narashino, Chiba 275-0016, Japan



ABSTRACT

BL Lacertae (BL Lac) is known as a low-synchrotron peaked (LSP) BL Lac type object and it **showed historical outburst from 2020 to 2024**. We performed follow-up imaging polarimetric observations using Kanata telescope. Here we are showing the results of the multi-wavelength (MWL) observations using optical to GeV gamma-ray telescopes. As a main result, the **peculiar bright GeV gamma-ray flare was found in 2024**. We suggest the additional component (e.g., external Compton) to explain this GeV gamma-ray flare. Future advanced SED modeling and multi-messenger observations will be important to understand such a specific flare.

Introduction

Target: BL Lacertae (BL Lac)

- Redshift $z \sim 0.069$ [1]
- Low energy peaked BL Lac object [2]
- TeV flares detected also in the past [3], [4]
- **Huge flare was repeated from 2020**.
- UL of the neutrino flux in 2024 flare was reported ($<6.6 \times 10^{-2}$ GeV cm⁻², Atel#16890).

Observation

HONIR is an optical to near-infrared camera installed on the 1.5 m Kanata telescope. The imaging polarimetric observation have been performed from 2020. From the observation 2020-2021, we have also taken some long observations and the intraday variabilities were found. (Imazawa+23)

XRT is an X-ray telescopes installed on **Swift** satellite, and it was also monitoring BL Lac including the outburst period.

Analysis configuration:

model: Galactic absorption*power law; wabs*pegpwlw
fixed parameters: $nH=0.344 \times 10^{22}$ cm⁻², minimum energy=0.2 keV, maximum energy=10.0 keV
free parameters: photon index, normalization

Softer-when-brighter trend was indicated from the X-ray spectrum.

This trend may be related to the peak-shift of the synchrotron emission in the flaring period (see the right SED).




Fig.3: ① The obtained flux (upper) and photon index (lower) variations. ② The relation of the flux (horizontal axis) and the photon index (vertical axis).

NuSTAR have a hard X-ray telescopes and it observed BL Lac 1 week after the GeV gamma-ray flare in 2024. We analyzed it from 3–50 keV using the same model as XRT.

Fermi-LAT is a GeV gamma-ray detector which has an energy range 100 MeV~500 GeV. It has a wide field of view of ~3 degrees, and thus it can observe daily flux. We used only public data from Light Curve Repository^{*1}.




Fig.4: The GeV gamma-ray lightcurve from the Fermi Light Curve Repository.

*1 https://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/access/lat/LightCurveRepository/source.html?source_name=4FGL_J2202.7+4216#




Fig.1: Illustration of the blazar for explain the emission mechanisms in the blazar jet. (credit: IceCube collaboration)




Fig.2: The pictures of Kanata telescope (upper right) and HONIR (lower left)

Result I : MWL light curve (2020/8~2024/10)

The specific of the light curve each band:

1. **Peculiar high amplitude in Gamma-ray flare in 2024** October.
2. The gamma-ray/optical ratio was also high in the flare 2024.
3. **Optical PA ~90° in 2024** although other flare occurred around 0°.




Fig.5: (left) MWL light curves of BL Lac from 2020 to 2024. From top to bottom panels: light curve taken by Fermi-LAT, Swift-XRT, Kanata, and results of the polarimetry observations. The orange line in the bottom panel shows jet position angle (2009-2017) and its standard deviation (from Casadio+21). (right) The variations of the GeV gamma-ray and optical energy flux (unit in erg/cm²/s).

Result II : SED modeling for October 2024

We used mean flux: October 4-6th (for NuSTAR after a week), 2024.

The SSC+EC model may become a candidate for explain the high GeV-flux.

*free params: $k, \delta, B, p1, p2, (L_{\text{disk}})$ $\chi^2/\text{d.o.f} = 1.24$




Fig.6: The SED fitting results with SSC (left) and SSC+EC (right) model made by agnpy, respectively.

One-zone SSC showed good fitting quality but too high $\delta_D = 100$.

For the SSC+EC model, $L_{\text{disk}} = 2.0 \times 10^{42}$ erg/s, $L_{\text{Edd}} = 2.4 \times 10^{45}$ erg/s ($M_{\text{BH}} = 2.0 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$)

→ Consistent with the BL Lacs value by the previous work (Ghisellini+14)

But we have a large uncertainty of the fitting values.

Discussion

☆ Particle acceleration mechanism based on the optical polarimetry

→ 2020-2022 flares (PA~0°, ⊥ jet direction) : explained by the shock-in-jet

→ 2024 flare (PA~90°, || jet) : magnetic reconnection?

☆ Emission mechanism from the SED modeling

→ X-ray spectral index indicates the synchrotron dominance to X-ray band

→ 2024 GeV flare may contain the EC component. As a future works, the

relation of the disk/jet and the energetics of the emission will be discussed.

References:

1. Miller, J. S., & Hawley, S. A. 1977, ApJ, 212, L47
2. Abdo, A. A., Ackermann, M., Agudo, I., et al. 2010, ApJ, 716, 30
3. MAGIC Collaboration et al, 2017, A&A,
4. Abeysekara, A. U., et al. 2018, ApJ, 856, 95
5. Peterson, B. et al. 2004, ApJ, 613, 682