Nebular emission modeling for collapsar

Kenta Hotokezaka (U of Tokyo) collaboration with Giacomo Ricigliano (Darmstadt), Masaomi Tanaka (Tohoku), Ehud Nakar (Tel Aviv)

The r-process origin

Neutron star merger?

Collapsar?



Something else?

The r-process origin

Neutron star merger?

Collapsar?

Kilonova in GW170817
 r-process occurs

Rare: ~1% of supernovae
Short GRB

No evidence of r-process
56Ni is produced
Rare: ~0.1-1% of supernovae
Long GRB, low-luminosity GRB

Shibata-san, Sekiguchi-san, Fujibayashi-san: numerical simulation Tanaka-san, Hamid-san, Domoto-san, Rahmouni-san, Chibata-san, : Modeling and Observation

R-process: Rate and Mass



In principle, neutron star mergers can provide all the r-process elements.

Delay problem of NS mergers



- R-process production rate follows the star formation without delay at least in our Galaxy.
- This fact challenges neutron star mergers as the dominant production site, c.f., 1-10 Gyr delay for GW170817.

R-process: Rate and Mass



The rates of mergers, IIGRBs, long GRBs correspond to **0.01 - 0.1Msun**. We'd like to test whether these events produce r-process elements or not.

Low-luminosity GRBs

Unified scenario

GRB 060218 (Campana+06)





- · GRB 060218-like IIGRBs: faint, soft, and smooth emission.
- Bright supernova without H and He.
- Einstein Probe and HiZ-GUNDAM will find many (EP 240414a, Sun+24).
- Closer distance and fainter afterglow compared to long GRBs => Excellent targets for r-process search.



- Energy budget constraints
- Nebular lines of r-process elements
- Summary

Energy budget in SN 1987A



We can rule out the production of r-process >5e-3Msun from the energy budget.
 But, normal core-collapse SNe should not produce >1.0e-3Msun from the rate.

Energy budget in broad-lined SN Ic including GRB/SNe



R-process of 0.03Msun can dominate the heating t>2yr. However, it is likely difficult to distinguish from overproduction of ⁴⁴Ti.

Nebular emission lines



The line intensity is roughly proportional to the mass of an emitting ion.

SN la Nebula seen by JWST

Kwok+23



- IR lines are particularly useful because their strengths usually do not depend excitation cross sections and depend weakly on the temperature and density.
- The ⁵⁶Ni mass estimated only from IR lines is ~ 0.5 Msun, which agrees with the light curve modeling.

SN la Nebula seen by JWST

Kwok+23



- IR lines are particularly useful because their strengths usually do not depend excitation cross sections and depend weakly on the temperature and density.
- $\cdot\,$ The ^{56}Ni mass estimated only from IR lines is ~ 0.5 Msun, which agrees with the light curve modeling (KH + in prep.).

Energy scales of atomic emission lines



R-process elements have strong emission lines in the iron- α window.



Envelope

- α -elements
- \cdot no radioactivity
- \cdot no emission

Radioactive Core

- · α -elements (~3Msun)
- · Iron group (0.3Msun)
- · R-process (0.1Msun)
- v_{exp} ~ 7000km/s
- Solar r-process abundance
- \cdot No molecules
- Collision excitation/deexcitation, radiative decay, escape probability are included. For r-process, only M1 lines are included.
- Temperature is set such that the optical spectrum agrees with SN 1998bw (see, Patat+01, Mazzalli + 01, Maeda+06).

Synthetic Nebular Spectrum



Caveat: our model doesn't include optical lines of r-process elements.



- The production of r-process elements in supernovae is an open question.
- · Rare core collapse events can be the main r-process sources.
- Bolometric light curves at late times may hint the r-process production but ⁴⁴Ti can mimic r-process heating.
- Nebular spectrum has an iron- α window at 1 5 μ m. Importantly, rprocess elements have strong lines in the window. (CO vibration modes might pollute the window)
- \cdot JWST follow-up of IIGRBs can tell us (1) the amount of ^{56}Ni and ionization stage (promising), (2) r-process production if M_r > 0.03Msun.

Nebular phase of GRB-SN 1998bw

